

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/723,099	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FULLER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Inder P. Mehra	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Thomas W. Humphrey, Reg. No. 34,353.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 October 2006
**Time:** 5 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*in claim 1 line 10, limitation "said analog telephone line" lacks antecedent basis.*
**Claims discussed:**
*Claim 1*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*None*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*As set forth in office action, in claim 1 line 10, limitation "said analog telephone line" lacking antecedent basis has been replaced by "said analog telephone connection"...*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Inder P. Mehra 10/10/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)